Organizing Your Approach to a Data Analysis

- I. Before looking at the data
 - A. Identify overall goal of the study
 - B. Identify specific aims and how they relate to overall goal
 - 1. Identify the current state of scientific knowledge
 - 2. Identify the competing hypotheses that the study is designed to discriminate between
 - 3. (Often dictated by available data)
 - C. Refine scientific hypotheses into statistical hypotheses
 - 1. Identify type of question
 - a. Prediction, estimation, or testing
 - b. Identifying groups, quantifying distributions, or comparing distributions
 - 2. Where appropriate, specify statistical hypotheses in terms of a summary measure for the distribution of measurements
 - a. e.g., mean, median, proportion above a threshold, event rate
 - D. Consider design of ideal experiment
 - 1. Ignore practical, ethical limitations in order to be able to later compare how close the actual situation is to the ideal
 - a. Who would be the subjects
 - b. What would be the intervention
 - c. How would subjects be assigned to the intervention
 - d. What would be the variables measured
 - E. Available data
 - 1. Sampling scheme
 - a. Retrospective vs prospective
 - b. Observational vs intervention
 - c. Inclusion, exclusion criteria
 - d. How was sample size determined
 - Overall
 - Within any strata
 - 2. Variables in the data set
 - a. Names
 - b. Relationship to real world quantities
 - c. Conditions under which they were measured

- d. Units of measurement (limitations)
 - e.g., qualitative vs quantitative, continuous vs discrete, patterns of missing data
- 3. Categorization of variables according to meaning
 - a. Demographic (age, sex, etc.)
 - b. Baseline physiology (SBP, performance status)
 - c. Baseline disease risk factors, prognosis
 - d. Measures of treatment intervention
 - e. Measures of ancillary clinical course during treatment (e.g., ancillary treatments, environmental conditions)
 - f. Measures of treatment outcome
- 4. Categorization of variables according to use in analysis
 - a. Response (outcome) variables
 - b. Predictor variable of interest (variable identifying groups)
 - c. Variables identifying subgroups to explore effect modification
 - d. Potential confounders
 - Association with response variable (in truth)
 - Association with predictor of interest (in the sample)
 - Not in causal pathway of interest
 - e. Variables which allow increased precision
 - Variables predictive of response, but not associated with predictor of interest
 - Questions about effects within such groups can be answered with more precision than questions about effects in the larger population (e.g., adjusting for age)
 - f. Surrogates for response
 - Variables in the causal pathway of interest
 - Variables measuring a later effect of the response
 - g. Irrelevant
- II. Univariate descriptive statistics
 - A. Goals
 - 1. Identify errors in the data
 - a. Particularly unusual measurements (out of range)
 - b. Unusual combinations of measurements
 - 2. Verify your understanding of the measurements
 - 3. Identify patterns of missing data
 - 4. Identify exact population used in study (Materials and Methods)
 - 5. Identify aspects of the data that may present technical statistical issues
 - a. Ideal: allows easiest, most precise statistical inference with smaller sample sizes
 - equal information about all groups being investigated (? equal sample sizes)
 - measurements of response within each group distributed symmetrically with no 'long tails' (outliers)

- no missing data
- b. Potential problems suggesting possibility of problematic scientific interpretation (problems which can not necessarily be solved with the available data)
 - missing data patterns
- c. Potential problems suggesting less generalizable statistical analysis (problems not necessarily indicated by the measures of statistical confidence)
 - 'Outliers' in distribution of grouping variables (predictors): i.e., low sample sizes in some groups that are far away from the rest of the data (e.g., trying to determine an age effect in a sample in which most are between 10 and 20 years old, but one subject is 80)
- d. Potential technical problems suggesting possibility of less precise inference (problems that will tend to lower our reported level of statistical precision)
 - 'Outliers' in distribution of response
 - Too little variation in the distribution of the grouping variables (e.g, trying to determine an age effect from a sample in which everyone is between 20 and 21 years old)
 - Too much association among the different grouping variables (e.g., trying to determine an age effect when all the young subjects are male and all the old subjects are female)
- e. Potential technical problems which suggest we might need to use more complicated statistical methods
 - Repeated measurements on the same sampling unit (correlated response)
 - When comparing means: unequal variability across groups being compared
 - When comparing time to events: lack of proportional hazards
 - When adjusting for covariates: nonlinear effects; interactions
- C. Order of investigation
 - 1. Potential confounders
 - 2. Predictor of interest
 - 3. Response
- D. Tools
 - 1. Frequency tables
 - 2. Mean, median, standard deviation, etc.
 - 3. Box plots, histograms
- III. Bivariate and trivariate descriptive statistics
 - A. Goals
 - 1. Identify confounding relationships
 - a. Associations between other variables and predictor of interest
 - b. Associations between other variables and response
 - 2. Identify important predictors of response
 - a. Univariate effects
 - b. Effect modification (interactions)
 - 3. Identify surrogates of response

- 4. Characterize form of functional relationships (linear, etc.)
- B. Ideal (because easiest for the statistician)
 - 1. Predictor of interest has no association with any other predictors
 - 2. Only a few variables are markedly associated with response
 - 3. All associations look like a straight line relationship
 - 4. No interactions (effect modification)
- C. Order of investigation
 - 1. Relationships among other predictors
 - 2. Relationships between predictor of interest and other predictors
 - 3. Relationships between response and other predictors
 - 4. Relationships between predictor of interest and response overall
 - 5. Relationships between predictor of interest and response within subgroups

D. Tools

- 1. Contingency tables
- 2. Stratified means, medians, standard deviations, etc.
- 3. Stratified box plots, histograms, etc.
- 4. Scatterplots
- 5. Stratified scatterplots
- 6. Correlations
- IV. Defining a suitable context for modeling

A. Goals

- 1. Choosing appropriate form for response variables
 - a. Selection of measure of response
 - Transformations of available data
 - b. Summary measure to use as basis for statistical model
- 2. Selection of groups to be investigated / compared
 - Form for predictor of interest
 - Identification and form of interactions (effect modification)
 - Identification and form of potential confounders to be modeled
 - Identification and form of precision variables to be modeled
- 3. Choosing analysis method (type of regression)

B. Methods

- 1. Ideal: Statistical model dictated entirely by scientific question (before looking at the data)
- 2. Practical: Model building (but may lead to problematic inference)
 - a. Educated guess for first models
 - b. Fit models
 - c. Evaluate validity of necessary assumptions
- V. Model Building to Address Primary Question

A. Goals (in order of importance)

- 1. Selection of variables to address scientific questions (main effects and interactions)
- 2. Selection of variables to minimize bias (address confounding)
- 3. Selection of variables to maximize precision
- 4. Selection of models which are easiest to implement (usually: have the least technical requirements on the distribution of response)

B. Methods

- 1. Addressing scientific question: Thinking about the problem
- 2. Addressing confounding: Adding or removing variables and observing effect on other regression parameters relative to findings in bivariate description of data (many difficult issues here)
- 3. Addressing precision: Determining which variables tend to predict response (many difficult issues here)
- 4. Evaluate extent to which data meets technical requirements of statistical procedures

VI. Exploratory Analyses for Hypothesis Generation

- A. Modeling of exact form of predictor-response relationship (e.g., dose-response)
- B. Identification of other predictors of response
- C. Subgroup analyses: Compare effect of predictor of interest on response within subgroups (effect modification)

VI. Reporting Results and Interpretation

- A. Scientific Background and Hypotheses
- B. Materials and Methods
 - 1. Sampling scheme
 - 2. Most basic descriptive statistics
- C. Results (more objective first)
 - 1. Descriptive statistics
 - 2. Results of analyses about primary question
 - a. Estimates of effect
 - Point estimates (single best estimate)
 - Interval estimates (range of estimates indicating precision)
 - b. Decisions about hypotheses
 - Binary decision (yes or no)
 - Measure of statistical confidence in precision
 - 3. Results of analyses about prespecified secondary questions or questions which demonstrate consistency (or lack of same) across alternative approaches
 - 4. Results of analyses about questions that arose during analysis and that the vast majority of readers would agree could and should be answered by the data
- D. Discussion (subjective, including particularly data-driven analyses)
 - 1. Elaboration on ways that these analyses address the overall goal of the study
 - 2. Results of the most speculative analyses of the data