

This exam is closed book, closed notes. Show sufficient detail to clearly establish your method of proof or solution.

1. (10 pt) State the elementary Skorokhod's theorem.

**Ans:** Suppose that  $X_n \rightarrow_d X_0$ . Then there exist random variables  $X_n^*$  defined on the probability space  $([0, 1], \mathcal{B}[0, 1], \lambda)$  for which  $X_n^* =_d X_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  with  $X_n^* \rightarrow_{as} X_0^*$ .

2. (15 pt) State and prove the delta method.

**Ans:** Suppose  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $X_n$  is a sequence of random variables. Further suppose  $g : \mathcal{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^1$  is a continuous function that is *continuously* differentiable at  $\theta$ . Then

$$a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d X \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_n(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) \rightarrow_d g'(\theta)X.$$

**Pf:** If  $g$  is *continuously* differentiable at  $\theta$  (the far more useful case in real life), by the mean value theorem

$$g(X_n) - g(\theta) = (X_n - \theta)g'(\phi_n)$$

for some  $\phi_n$  between  $\theta$  and  $X_n$ . Since  $a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d X$ , then by Slutsky's  $(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d 0$ , and  $X_n \rightarrow_p \theta$ . By Mann-Wald,  $g(X_n) \rightarrow_p g(\theta)$  and  $g'(X_n) \rightarrow_p g'(\theta)$ .

Because  $\phi_n$  is between  $X_n$  and  $\theta$ , we must also have  $\phi_n \rightarrow_p \theta$  and by Mann-Wald that  $g'(\phi_n) \rightarrow_p g'(\theta)$ . Then using Slutsky's

$$a_n(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\phi_n)a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d g'(\theta)X$$

gives us the result.

**Alt:** Alternatively: Suppose  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $X_n$  is a sequence of random variables. Further suppose  $g : \mathcal{R}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^1$  is a continuous function that is differentiable at  $\theta$ . Then

$$a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d X \quad \Rightarrow \quad a_n(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) \rightarrow_d g'(\theta)X.$$

**Pf** If we only know that  $g$  is differentiable at  $\theta$ , then (we are essentially proving Taylor's theorem for a first order expansion of a continuous function of a consistent estimator here) we know that for

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(x) - g(\theta)}{x - \theta} - g'(\theta) & x \neq \theta, \text{ and} \\ 0 & x = \theta \end{cases},$$

we have by the continuity of  $g$  that  $h$  is continuous at all  $x \neq \theta$  and by the differentiability of  $g$  at  $\theta$  that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \theta} h(x) = 0$ , so  $h(x)$  is continuous at  $\theta$ . Now

$$g(X_n) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(X_n - \theta) + h(X_n)(X_n - \theta),$$

so

$$a_n(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\theta)a_n(X_n - \theta) + h(X_n)a_n(X_n - \theta).$$

Now if  $a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d X$  and  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $1/a_n \rightarrow 0$  and by Slutsky's theorem

$$X_n = \frac{1}{a_n}a_n(X_n - \theta) + \theta \rightarrow_d 0 \cdot X + \theta = \theta.$$

Furthermore  $X_n \rightarrow_d \theta$  a constant implies  $X_n \rightarrow_p \theta$ . so as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h(X_n) \rightarrow_p 0$  by the Mann-Wald (continuous mapping) theorem. Hence, again by Slutsky's theorem we have

$$h(X_n)a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d 0 \cdot X = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad h(X_n)a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_p 0,$$

which with another application of Slutsky's theorem yields

$$a_n(g(X_n) - g(\theta)) = g'(\theta)a_n(X_n - \theta) + h(X_n)a_n(X_n - \theta) \rightarrow_d g'(\theta)X + 0 = g'(\theta)X.$$

**3.** (20 pt) State and prove the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem.

**Ans:** Let  $I(t) = t$  and for independent identically distributed  $\xi_i \sim \mathcal{U}(0, 1)$ , define empirical distribution function

$$G_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{[0,t]}(\xi_i) \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1].$$

Then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\|G_n - I\|_\infty \equiv \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |G_n(t) - t| \rightarrow_{as} 0.$$

**Pf:** Let  $M$  be an arbitrary large number. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_n - I\|_\infty &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \left[ \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} |G_n(t) - t| \right] \\ &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \left[ \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} |G_n(t) - t| \right] \\ &= \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \left[ \max \left( \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} (G_n(t) - t), \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} (t - G_n(t)) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \left[ \max \left( \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} \left( G_n \left( \frac{j}{M} \right) - \frac{j-1}{M} \right), \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} \left( \frac{j}{M} - G_n \left( \frac{j-1}{M} \right) \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \left[ \max \left( \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} \left( G_n \left( \frac{j}{M} \right) - \frac{j}{M} \right), \sup_{\frac{j-1}{M} \leq t \leq \frac{j}{M}} \left( \frac{j-1}{M} - G_n \left( \frac{j-1}{M} \right) \right) \right) \right] + \frac{1}{M} \\ &\rightarrow_{as} \frac{1}{M}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the strong law of large numbers, which provides that

$$G_n \left( \frac{j}{M} \right) \rightarrow_{as} \frac{j}{M} \quad \text{so} \quad G_n \left( \frac{j}{M} \right) - \frac{j}{M} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, because the above holds for arbitrarily large  $M$ , we have  $\|G_n - I\|_\infty \rightarrow_{as} 0$ .

Note that for independent identically distributed  $X_i \sim F$ ,  $P(F(X_i) \leq t) = t$ , and because  $F^{-1}(\xi_i) \sim F$ , the above results also can be used to show that for empirical distribution function  $F_n(t)$ ,

$$\|F_n - F\|_\infty \leq \|G_n - I\|_\infty \rightarrow_{as} 0.$$

**4.** (20 pt) Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be independent random variables distributed according to a mixture of normals in which  $X_i \sim f_X(x)$  where density  $f_X$  is derived from a mixture having probability  $1 - p$  of a  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, 1)$  distribution and probability  $p$  of a  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  distribution. Hence,

$$f_X(x) = (1 - p) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2} \right) + p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left( -\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right).$$

Let  $\bar{X}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i/n$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  be the sample median of  $(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Find the asymptotic relative efficiency of  $\bar{X}_n$  to  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  as estimators of  $\mu$ .

**Ans:** Letting  $Z$  represent a latent variable that indicates which of the two normal distributions an observation might be from, we can find the moments of the distribution of  $X_i$  as

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_i] &= E_Z [E[X_i|Z]] = (1-p)\mu + p\mu = \mu \\ \text{Var}(X_i) &= E_Z [\text{Var}(X_i|Z)] + \text{Var}(E[X_i|Z]) \\ &= (1-p) \cdot 1 + p\sigma^2 + 0 = 1-p + p\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

By the Levy central limit theorem, we thus have

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, 1-p + p\sigma^2).$$

Now

$$\Pr(X_i \leq x) = (1-p)\Pr(\mathcal{N}(\mu, 1) \leq x) + p\Pr(\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \leq x),$$

so  $\Pr(X_i \leq \mu) = 0.5$ , and  $\mu$  is both the mean and the median of  $X_i$ . We can therefore use sample median  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  as an estimator of  $\mu$ , and from the asymptotic distribution of the sample median of a continuous random variable having positive density at the median, we know

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mu}_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{0.5(1-0.5)}{[f(\mu)]^2}\right).$$

In this case,

$$f(\mu) = \frac{1-p}{\sqrt{2\pi}} + \frac{p}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma},$$

so

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\mu}_n - \mu) \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2(\sigma(1-p) + p)^2}\right).$$

The asymptotic relative efficiency of  $\bar{X}_n$  to  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  is thus the ratio of the variances of the asymptotic distributions:

$$e_{\bar{X}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n} = \frac{\pi\sigma^2}{2(\sigma(1-p) + p)^2(1-p + p\sigma^2)}.$$

(Note that for  $p = 0$  or  $p = 1$ , we have a normal distribution and the ARE of the sample mean relative to the sample median is  $\pi/2$ . For fixed  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the ARE approaches 0 as  $\sigma^2$  becomes large. For fixed  $\sigma^2 \neq 1$ , the ARE achieves a local minimum somewhere between 0 and 1, with that minimum being above 1 for  $\sigma^2 < 4.94$  or so. The larger the value of  $\sigma^2$ , the wider the range of  $p$  for which the sample median might be more efficient than the sample mean.)

5. (35 pt) Consider the “regression through the origin” model in which for known sequence of predictors  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and independent identically distributed “errors”  $\{\epsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$  with  $\epsilon_i \sim (0, \sigma^2 < \infty)$ , we observe derived random variables

$$Y_i = \beta x_i + \epsilon_i,$$

for some unknown parameter  $\beta$ . Let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the ordinary least squares estimator of  $\beta$ , and let

$$\hat{\sigma}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2.$$

Show that for some suitable scaling factor  $a_n$  and under suitable restrictions on the  $x_i$ 's

$$a_n \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\hat{\sigma}_n} \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

(Be sure to specify the form of  $a_n$  and the sufficient restrictions on the  $x_i$ 's, noting that in order to get maximal credit on this problem, the restrictions on the  $x_i$ 's should be as least restrictive as possible.)

**Ans:** First, we find  $a_n$  by considering the general theory regarding ordinary least squares. We know that for  $\vec{Y}|\vec{x} \sim (\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$ , the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta} \sim (\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X})^{-1})$ . Furthermore, if  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , then  $\hat{\beta}$  is normally distributed:  $\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X})^{-1})$ . Because the general asymptotic results must include this case, we consider that

$$(\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X})^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sigma} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

as suggesting that we should use

$$a_n = (\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In this problem,  $\mathbf{X} = \vec{x}$ , so  $\mathbf{X}^t\mathbf{X} = ss_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ , so we consider  $a_n = \sqrt{ss_{xx}}$ . Further,

$$\hat{\beta}_n = \frac{ss_{xy}}{ss_{xx}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i}{ss_{xx}},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} W_n &= a_n(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta) = \sqrt{ss_{xx}} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i}{ss_{xx}} - \beta \right) \\ &= \sqrt{ss_{xx}} \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i (x_i \beta + \epsilon_i)}{ss_{xx}} - \beta \right) \\ &= \sqrt{ss_{xx}} \left( \frac{ss_{xx} \beta}{ss_{xx}} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i}{ss_{xx}} - \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \epsilon_i}{\sqrt{ss_{xx}}} \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^n c_{n:i} \epsilon_i \\ &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^n W_{n:i} \end{aligned}$$

where independently distributed  $W_{n:i}$  have mean 0 and variance  $v_{n:i} = c_{n:i}^2 \sigma^2$ , and

$$V_n = \sum_{i=1}^n v_{n:i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i^2}{ss_{xx}} \sigma^2 = \sigma^2.$$

We thus use the Lindeberg-Feller central limit theorem by requiring that the Lindeberg condition is satisfied:

$$\forall M > 0 \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V_n} \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ |W_{n:i}|^2 1_{\{|W_{n:i}| > M\sqrt{V_n}\}} \right] \rightarrow 0.$$

We thus define  $c_n^* = \max\{c_{n:i}\}$ , and place a restriction on the  $\{x_i\}$  such that  $c_n^* \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{V_n} \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ |W_{n:i}|^2 1_{[|W_{n:i}| > M\sqrt{V_n}]} \right] &= \frac{1}{V_n} \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ c_{n:i}^2 |\epsilon_i^2| 1_{[|\epsilon_i| > M\sqrt{V_n}/c_{n:i}]} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{V_n} \sum_{i=1}^n E \left[ c_{n:i}^2 |\epsilon_i^2| 1_{[|\epsilon_i| > M\sqrt{V_n}/c_n^*]} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{V_n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_{n:i}^2 E \left[ |\epsilon_i^2| 1_{[|\epsilon_i| > M\sqrt{V_n}/c_n^*]} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{V_n} E \left[ |\epsilon_1^2| 1_{[|\epsilon_1| > M\sqrt{V_n}/c_n^*]} \right] \sum_{i=1}^n c_{n:i}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{V_n} E \left[ |\epsilon_1^2| 1_{[|\epsilon_1| > M\sqrt{V_n}/c_n^*]} \right] \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the finite variance for the  $\epsilon_i$ 's and the fact that  $c_n^* \rightarrow 0$  (so  $M\sqrt{V_n}/c_n^* \rightarrow \infty$ ).

We have thus shown

$$a_n \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sigma} \rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

We now need to show that  $\hat{\sigma}_n \rightarrow_p \sigma$ , in which case  $\sigma/\hat{\sigma}_n \rightarrow_p 1$  and Slutsky's will provide that

$$a_n \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\hat{\sigma}_n} = \frac{\sigma}{\hat{\sigma}_n} a_n \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sigma} \rightarrow_d 1 \cdot \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Now, by the weak law of large numbers we know

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \beta)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2 \rightarrow_p \sigma^2.$$

But we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \beta)^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta} + x_i \hat{\beta} - x_i \beta)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2 + \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})(x_i \hat{\beta} - x_i \beta) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i \hat{\beta} - x_i \beta)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2 + \frac{2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i Y_i - x_i^2 \hat{\beta}) + \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2 + \frac{2(\hat{\beta} - \beta)}{n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i - \hat{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \right] + \frac{(\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2 + \frac{a_n^2 (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2}{n} \end{aligned}$$

where the middle term drops out because  $\hat{\beta} = ss_{xy}/ss_{xx}$ .

Now, by the Mann-Wald theorem we have  $a_n^2 (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2 \rightarrow_d [\mathcal{N}(0, 1)]^2 \sim \chi^2$ , and because  $1/n \rightarrow 0$ , Slutsky's theorem provides that

$$\frac{a_n^2 (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2}{n} \rightarrow_d 0 \cdot \chi^2.$$

Hence using Slutsky's

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2 = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - x_i \hat{\beta})^2 \right] - \frac{n}{n-1} \left[ \frac{a_n^2 (\hat{\beta} - \beta)^2}{n} \right] \\ &\rightarrow_d 1 \cdot \sigma^2 - 1 \cdot 0 = \sigma^2,\end{aligned}$$

and Mann-Wald then gives us  $\hat{\sigma}_n \rightarrow_p \sigma$ .